

# Childcare and Family Resources SPAB

Date: 12/5/14

In Attendance:

Amy Luhn, Kristi King, Terese Jones, Michelle Marie, Brock McLeod, Lani Sherman, Alicia Miao, Jehan Jabereen, Clare Cady (visitor), Priscilla Macy (visitor), Patrick Maheady (SIFC liaison), Chris Partipillo (prospective board member)

Not Present:

Stephanie Smith

Minute Taker: Terese Jones

***NEXT MEETING: December 5<sup>th</sup>***

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION / FOLLOW UP
Budget Workgroup Recap	The meeting began with Amy providing a summary of the budget work group outcomes and a brief synopsis of history of why the work group was formed. OUS disbanded and a board of trustees is now providing oversight to OSU, which has led to an increase in procedural scrutiny of business practices, including SIFC	
New Budget Model	<p>Amy distributed a handout to show the new org chart of OSU. The new budget structure places both CFR and HSRC into a common fund, with a single funding board and a separate budget authority over that fund, while each board retains advisory boards but not budget authority over programs.</p> <p>Amy and Priscilla both noted that there are still building reserve processes to be figured out with regard to whether all three childcare buildings will share one fund or each have a separate one</p> <p>Priscilla then presented a brief overview of the changes coming, pointing out how they align or don't with the recommendation of the budget work group from the summer. Her points are:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">She said change is hard for people, especially when the process lingers with some questions</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Leadership of SIFC/ASOSU has met to assess the needs of student fee groups</p>	

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION / FOLLOW UP
	<p>Overall there is a feeling of concern about autonomy of programs being compromised, lost</p> <p>SIFC boards are losing some autonomy as processes are streamlined</p> <p>Self support units such as UHDS and parking are working on rebranding in order to increase support- so these changes are not only impacting student fee funded programs</p> <p>Because of high SIFC turnover, programs are asked to reify their existence each year, hopefully some changes will help reduce this need</p> <p>SIFC is trying to get ahead of the changes, be on the front end and be proactive, with the hopes it will ease the scrutiny a bit and create more opportunity to have a voice in forming the direction of change</p> <p>As an example of benefits to some changes, she pointed out the ease of selling bonds for the new building under the new structure</p> <p>She said there is a need for strategic planning to rebrand programs in line with the new structure</p> <p>Brock commented in response to being at the front end that it is better for us to be bigger (combined budget with HSRC) in order to have more leverage- be the “800lb gorilla in the room”</p> <p>Lani responded that rebranding seems to be a smart move, but also seems problematic that we must “justify” our services to a population that are fee-paying students. It’s hard to see us have to take a step back instead of insisting on others becoming educated on student populations, such as student parents.</p> <p>Jehan stated, with regard to branding ourselves, we need to approach it as “studying the enemy” to see what they are looking for</p>	

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION / FOLLOW UP
	<p>Michelle made an observation that CFR would not even exist if this kind of organizational structure had been in place when they began. She shared that it was hard to think about how the important work that we do would have not even existed.</p> <p>Kristi asked why we should change to the newly decided structure if a combined budget for HSRC and ASOSU wasn't working previously</p> <p>Clare responded to Kristi's comment that the biggest barrier to working with ASOSU was their timeline for budget work, which left HSRC with inadequate time; also noted a lack of cooperation with ASOSU</p> <p>Kristi commented that some concerns about the turnover of SIFC, ASOSU are not addressed with the new model</p> <p>Michelle talked about her concern for outside involvement on the board- the structure of the board will be instrumental in advancing issues for CFR, and for HSRC as well</p> <p>Terese said one concern she has is whether or not the configuration of the board will have to shift repeatedly as other student groups are determined to belong under "SCC"; also concerned about how this alignment impacts future pursuit of university general fund dollars to CFR work</p> <p>Michelle noted that we need to rethink the proposed name of the fund, proposed including the work "access" in the title</p> <p>Terese agreed with Michelle that the current proposed name does not work</p>	